FILED

JUL 2 6 2006

RICHARD W. WIEKING CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

WAYNE J. SCHWOOB,

No. C 05-3455 MHP (pr)

Plaintiff,

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

v.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

RUTH ANN FLAMENT; et al.,

Defendants.

Local Rule 3-11 requires that attorneys and <u>pro se</u> litigants notify the court of any change of address and permits the dismissal of an action when mail directed to an attorney or <u>pro se</u> litigant is returned as not deliverable and the court does not receive within 60 days of the return of the mail a written communication from the attorney or <u>pro se</u> litigant indicating a current address. That is the case here: mail came back undelivered more than 60 days ago and the court has not received a written communication from plaintiff indicating a current address. Dismissal is now appropriate. This action is DISMISSED without prejudice because plaintiff failed to keep the court apprised of his current address. <u>See</u> N. D. Cal. Local Rule 3-11. In light of the dismissal of this action, all pending motions are dismissed as moot. (Docket # 12, # 15, and # 17.)

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: July 2, 2006

United States District Judge

27

26

28